

Inspection Form

Form WMSC-IR-1

Washington Metrorail Safety Commission

Agency/Department Information

| Inspection Date | YYYY/MM/DD | Daniel Ministry | 20240208 WMSC LDW 1 | | | |
|------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | 2024/03/08 | Report Number | 20240308-WMSC-LBW-1 | | | |
| Rail Agency Name | Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority | Rail Agency Department | Name | | | |
| Rail Agency Department | RTRA | Contact Information | | | | |
| Inspection Location | | | | | | |

Inspection Summary

| Inspection Activity # | 1 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Activity Code | OBS-RTRA-QAI |
| Inspection Units | 1 |
| Inspection Subunits | 0 |
| Defects (Number) | 5 |
| Recommended Finding | No |
| Remedial Action Required ¹ | No |
| Recommended Reinspection | Yes |

Activity Summaries

| Inspection Activity # | 1 Inspection Subject | | | Permanent Order 23-21 | | | | Activity Code | | | OBS-RTRA-QAI | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|----------|---------|------------------|------|
| Job Briefing Employee Name/Title | Darrell Brax | on - WMSC Accompa | | | anied Inspector? | | No | Out Brief Conducted | Yes | Time | | 09:00 AM | | Outside Shift | Yes |
| Related Reports | NA | | | Related CA | APS / Findir | ngs | | NA | | | | | | | |
| Related Rules, | Ref | | Rule | e or SOP | | | Standard | | Other / | Title | | | Checkli | st Refere | ence |
| SOPs, Standards, or Other | Permanent (| Order 23-21 | R 5.3.3 MOR 17.22.4 MOR 12.4.1 MOR 17.22.5.2 | | | NA | NA | | NA | | | NA | | | |
| Inspection Location | Main Track | Yard | Station | occ | RTA Facility | | WMSC Office | Track Type | At-grade Tunne | | el Elevate | | ed I | N/A | |
| | Х | | Х | | | | | | > | (| Х | | Х | | |
| Line(s) & Track | | | | | | rker and/ | or or | | From | | | То | | | |
| Number | | | | | Station(s | 5) | | Shady Grove | | | | Glenm | nont | | |
| Vehicles | 7112 7136 | | N | Iumber of C | Cars | Equipm | ent | | | | | | | | |
| | The WMSC Inspectors conducted an unannounced Rail Vehicle Operator and Advanced | | | | | | | | | Number of Defects 5 | | | | | |
| | Mobile Flag inspection v | | Recommended Finding? | | | | | No | | | | | | | |
| | | | Remedial Action Required? | | | | | No | 0 | | | | | | |
| Description | AMF Procedures. Advanced Mobile Flagging is a form of RWP used by Mobile Work Crews in which on-track safety is provided in coordination with the Rail Traffic Controller. Permanent Order 23-21 was issued to amend the existing rules for AMF procedures to change the maximum speed to 35 mph and eliminate the requirement for Rail Vehicle Operators to continuously blow the horn and reduce speed to 15 mph when observing and passing mobile work crews. This PO 23-21 was implemented on March 1, 2024, and the WMSC received safety concerns from frontline workers after its implementation. The inspection team observed six (6) different Rail Vehicle Operator as they interacted with the Advanced Mobile Flagger (AMF), operated their rail vehicle, receive and acknowledge hand signal and passed mobile work crews. One (1) Rail Vehicle Operator failed to adhere to Metro Operating Rule 5.3.3: a. Warning to others that rail vehicle is approaching b. Acknowledgement of any signal to the rail vehicle All other Rail Vehicle Operators were observed in compliance with all other rule changes listed in Permanent Order 23-21. After receiving notification from an AMF, vehicles operators were observed departing and operating between stations at under 35 mph. The inspection team observed three locations where Advanced Mobile Flagger (AMF) protection was established. The inspection team observed the AMF flaggers from both aboard the Rail Vehicles and while performing flagging protection from the station platform. The inspection team monitored Rail Ops 1 to hear all communications between the Flagger, Roadway Worker in Charge (RWIC) and the Rail Traffic Controller (RTC). The inspection team observed a communication where the flagger stated they were in position while still walking to the end of the platform. This was in violation of rule: a. 17.22.4 Establishing Advanced Mobile Flagging protection to 17.22.4.1 Before accessing the roadway, the RWIC shall ensure the AMF is properly equipped and positioned on the correct track the 8-car marker or | | | | | | | | | Ye | Yes | | | | |

The rail transit agency must provide WMSC with the necessary evidence (e.g. maintenance work order system records, photos, documentation, records, data, or other evidence) to close out the Remedial Action. Closeout of Remedial Actions may also be subject to ongoing WMSC verification inspections to ensure corrections are sufficient and effective.

Inspector in Charge's Signature

Date



Inspection Form

Form WMSC-IR-1

Washington Metrorail Safety Commission

| | | 2024/03/08 |
|--|------------------------------------|------------|
| Inspector in Charge's Name LeBon Bruce Walker | Inspection Team Darrell L. Braxton | |