

Inspection Form

Form WMSC-IR-1

Washington Metrorail Safety Commission

Agency/Department Information

Inspection Date	YYYY/MM/DD	Daniel Ministry	20241008-WMSC-DLB-1				
	2024/10/08	Report Number					
Rail Agency Name	Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority	Rail Agency Department	Name				
Rail Agency Department	Technical Training and Development	Contact Information					
Inspection Location	Carmen Turner Facility (CTF) 3500 Pennsy Drive Landover, MD 20785						

Inspection Summary

Inspection Activity #	1
Activity Code	OBS-TNG-QAI
Inspection Units	1
Inspection Subunits	0
Defects (Number)	1
Recommended Finding	No
Remedial Action Required ¹	Yes
Recommended Reinspection	Yes

Activity Summaries

Inspection Activity #	1	Ins	Inspection Subject Roadway Worker Problems Observation				Protection cl	ass	Activity Code			OBS-TNG-QAI			
Job Briefing Employee Name/Title	RWP Superv	visor		Accompanied Inspector?			No	Out Brief Conducted	Yes	s Time			07:00 AM		No
Related Reports	WMSC- 202- Protection (,	Related CAPS / Findings			N/A.									
	Ref Rule or SOP				Standard		Other / Title Check						dist Reference		
Related Rules, SOPs, Standards, or Other	Metrorail Operating Rulebook (MOR) PO- Rec			0-24-08 - Application of RWP 0-24-08 - Transfer of Authority 0-24-05 - Bulletin Orders & equired Documentation P-24-08 intrust Token			N/A.		N/A.				N/A.		
Inspection Location	Main Track	Yard	Statio	n OCC	RTA Facility		WMSC Office	Track Type	At-g	rade	Tunne	Tunnel		ted	N/A
					Х										Χ
Line(s) & Track Number	Carmen Turner Facility (CTF) 3500 Pennsy Drive Landover, MD 20785 Chain Marker and/Station(s)			or	From N/A.				To N/A.						
	Head Car	Number	Number of 0	umber of Cars											
Vehicles	N/A. N/A. Equipment N/A.														
	BACKGROUND: Several Permanent Orders that directly influence Roadway Worker								Number of Defects					1	1
	Protection (RWP) became effective on Tuesday October 1, 2024 throughout the Metrorail system. Permanent Order-PO-24-08, Application of RWP involves the distinction of when									Recommended Finding?				No	
	RWP is required and when it is not. The delineation is that RWP is to be used if the work									Remedial Action Required?				Yes	
Description	being performed directly fouls a track or has the potential to foul a track. If either of the conditions are present RWP must be requested by the Roadway Worker in Charge (RWIC) for permission to foul the track from the Rail Traffic Controller (RTC). This fact is determined by the risk of being struck by a moving rail vehicle. The second emphasize point was the differentiation between permission and notification. Permission is not required to enter the roadway, instead the roadway worker will simply notify the RTC or Interlocking Operator (IO) to gain access to the roadway. This is based on the absence of the risk of being struck by a moving rail vehicle. Clearing the Track versus Clearing the Roadway and Roadway Job Safety Briefing (RJSB) requirements were also address by the permanent order. METHOD: Direct classroom observations and Computer Based Training (CBT) review were used as the basis for the inspection by the WMSC.								ès						
Remedial Action							¥ 1¥13 C.								
Remedial Action				ncies identified											

¹ The rail transit agency must provide WMSC with the necessary evidence (e.g. maintenance work order system records, photos, documentation, records, data, or other evidence) to close out the Remedial Action. Closeout of Remedial Actions may also be subject to ongoing WMSC verification inspections to ensure corrections are sufficient and effective.

be subject to ongoing wivisc verification inspections to ensure corrections are sufficient and effective.			
	Date 2024/10/08		
Inspection Team			
N/A.			
	Inspection Team		